

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION 75

Despite what union leaders would like you to believe, public opinion surveys show that nearly 60% of union households SUPPORT PROPOSITION 75.

Proposition 75 is NOT about the political influence of unions or corporations — it's simply about INDIVIDUAL CHOICE.

A non-partisan employee rights group measured the results of a *Paycheck Protection* measure in Washington State. Its findings showed that *85% of teachers chose NOT to participate in their union's political activities.*

Consider the recent actions by the prison guard union and teacher union — is this fair?

Despite opposition from more than 4,000 prison guards, their union increased dues by \$18 million over two years to pay for political campaigns and to give to politicians.

WITHOUT A VOTE OF THE MEMBERSHIP, the teachers union recently increased dues by \$50 million over three years in order to fund political campaigns.

This is NOT a fair choice — it's not what our teachers, police officers, firefighters and other public employees deserve.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION 75

YES ON 75 will simply ask public employee union members for their approval before automatically deducting money for political purposes.

Proposition 75 will NOT prevent unions from collecting political contributions, but those contributions will be VOLUNTARY, NOT MANDATORY. It will hold public employee union leaders more ACCOUNTABLE to their membership.

There are no hidden agendas. No power grabs. Just protecting workers' rights.
Read the official Title and Summary for yourself — it's really that simple.

VOTE YES ON 75 — let individuals, not union leaders, decide whether their dues should be spent on politics.

James Galley, ~~Member~~ and Past Vice President, AFSCME/AFL-CIO, Local 127

Archie Caughell, Member, Service Employees International Union

Pamela Smith, Member, California Teachers Association

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES